

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/762,617	03/30/2001	Leslie James Squires	HUN 0004 PA	8494	
75	7590 09/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Timothy W Hagan			YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA		
Killworth Gottman Hagan & Schaeff One South Main Street Suite 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Dayton Centrre			1733		
Dayton, OH 45402-2023			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/762,617 SQUIRES ET AL. Examiner **Art Unit**

Sam Chuan C. Yao 1733 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Exam	nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
ŕ	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever in event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See 706.07(f).	
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate e een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exten R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion fee under as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	:
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(C	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or sin issues for appeal; and/or	nplifying the
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims NOTE:	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed a canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	amendment
5.🖂	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	place the
6.⊠	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered are explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	nd an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to: 7,35,37,38,57 and 63-65.	•
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6,8-14,17-19,21-23,30-34,58-61 and 84-88</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
10.	Other:	
	Cam Chuan C Van	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as noted in a prior office action, an upper bound weight range of 60 g/sq. m for a non-woven taught by Leak et al falls within the recited weight range recited in the claims, hence the weight range taught by Leak et al is taken to have sufficient specificity. Thus, the recited weight range is anticipated by Leak et al. In any event, it would have been obvious in the art to form a non-woven web having a weight of 60 g/sq. m, because one in the art would have applied a non-woven having a weight range suggested by a prior art reference. NOTE: as for Counsel's assertion that "All ranges, by necessity, must have both a lowe "end point" and an upper "end point"" (quotation in original). Examiner strongly disagrees. For example a nonwoven having a weight of at least 40 g/sq. m does not have an explicit upper end point.